

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,300	YEE, MONG SUAN	
	Examiner Vineeta S. Panwalkar	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Vineeta S. Panwalkar

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Carl Schlier (Reg. No. 34426)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 September 2007

Time: 3pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

27, 29 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed:

As per applicant's representative, Mr. Schlier, applicant intended to cancel claim 27 and did not intend to cancel claim 29. Mr. Schlier agreed to an examiner's amendment to do the same in order to place the application in condition for allowance.